David L. Mazaroli (DM-3929)	
Attorney for Plaintiff	
11 Park Place – Suite 1214	
New York, NY 10007-2801	
Tel. (212)267-8480	
Fax. (212)732-7352	
e-mail: dlm@mazarolilaw.com	_
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	X
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	v
	: :
VIGILANT INSURANCE COMPANY;	ECF CASE
PFIZER PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC;	:
PFIZER PHARMACEUTICALS, LTD.	
Plaintiffs,	08 Civ. 6462 (AKH)
- against -	AMENDED COMPLAINT
M/V "HORIZON HAWAII", her engines,	;
tackle, boilers, etc., in rem;	:
HORIZON LINES, LLC;	
FMC CORPORATION;	:
FMC CORPORATION d/b/a	
FMC BIOPOLYMER	:
Defendants.	: Y
	A

Plaintiffs, through their undersigned attorney, allege as follows for their amended complaint against defendants upon information and belief:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff Vigilant Insurance Company ("Vigilant") is a New York corporation with its principal place of business in New Jersey and a place of business at 55 Water Street, New York, NY.
- 2. Plaintiffs Pfizer Pharmaceuticals LLC and Pfizer Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (jointly "Pfizer") are corporations or other business entities organized under the laws of,

and with their principal places of business in, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. Pfizer was at all material times the purchaser, consignee and owner of the shipment of pharmaceuticals which is the subject of this action.

- 3. Vigilant sues herein as subrogated cargo insurer, having paid the insurance claim of its insured and policy-holder Pfizer. Plaintiffs also seek recovery of Pfizer's \$25,000.00 deductible interest in the claim in suit and any expenses incurred by Pfizer for storage and disposal of the damaged shipment.
- 4. Defendant Horizon Lines, LLC ("Horizon") is believed to be a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business in Charlotte, North Carolina. At all material times Horizon was engaged in the business of a common carrier of cargo for hire, including multimodal (land and ocean) carriage of shipments to, from, and through the State of New York, and conducts such business with principals located in the State of New York. Through a freight forwarder domiciled in New York, Horizon contracted to carry the shipment in suit on a door-to-door basis from the shipper's premises in Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania, to the consignee's premises in Vega Baja, Puerto Rico, with ocean carriage aboard the M/V "Horizon Hawaii" from the Port of New York to San Juan, Puerto Rico.
- 5. Defendant FMC Corporation ("FMC"), also doing business as FMC Biopolymer, is believed to be a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Philadelphia. At all material times FMC was engaged in the manufacture, sale, and distribution of chemical products, including products for use in the manufacture of pharmaceuticals for human consumption, and conducts such business within the State of New York and with principals located in the State of New York and has a registered agent within this district. FMC was the manufacturer, seller and shipper of the shipment which is the subject of this action.

- 6. The M/V "Horizon Hawaii" was at all material times owned, operated, chartered, and/or managed by Horizon and was and is engaged in the common carriage of cargo by water for hire, including carriage of shipments to, from and through the Port of New York. Upon information and belief said vessel is now or will be during the pendency of this action within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 7. The amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds \$75,000.00.
- 8. This action includes an admiralty and maritime claim and the Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to Article III, Section 2, of the Constitution of the United States, 28 U.S.C. § 1333, and Rule 9(h) Fed. R. Civ. P. Concurrently there is federal question, diversity, pendent, ancillary, and supplemental jurisdiction.
- 9. Plaintiffs seek recovery for damage to a shipment of 200 drums (10,000 kgs) of micro-crystalline croscarmelose sodium (Ac-Di-Sol Croscarmellose Sodium) ("the shipment") intended for use in the manufacture of pharmaceutical products for human consumption. The shipment was manufactured by FMC on or about July 2, 2006 and was tendered for transport on or about July 20, 2006.
- 10. On or about July 20, 2006 Horizon contracted to carry the shipment on a door-to-door multimodal basis from FMC's premises in Mechanicsburg, PA, to Pfizer's premises n Vega Baja, PR.
- 11. Horizon provided container SEAU854347-7 for the door-to-door multimodal transportation.
- 12. Container SEAU854347-7 was delivered by Horizon to FMC's premises on or about July 20, 2006. On that date the shipment was loaded into the container in the presence of employees of FMC and Horizon's hired or subcontracted truck driver, who signed the shipper-provided short form bill of lading without exception or notation for damage.

- 13. Horizon, and entities acting on its behalf, transported the shipment in container SEAU854347-7 from Mechanicsburg, PA, to Vega Baja, P.R., as described more fully in the shipper-provided short form bill of lading 80301382 dated July 20, 2006 and Horizon freight bill 472558310 (HRZD472558310) dated on or about July 22, 2008. (Booking No. SLX972034)
- 14. Although clean transportation documents were issued for the land carriage from the shipper's premises to the Port of New York, and for the ocean carriage from the load port to San Juan, and the shipment was otherwise represented to be in good order and condition at the time of dispatch, the shipment was in damaged and depreciated condition when it was delivered to Pfizer in Puerto Rico on or about July 27, 2006.
- 15. The condition of the shipment at the time of delivery indicated that it had been exposed to contamination by an oil product or other such substance which permeated the packing materials and rendered the product unfit for intended usage.
- 16. The damage to the shipment was caused by (a) the unseaworthiness of the carrying vessel and container; (b) Horizon's failure to properly and safely load, stow, carry, protect, carry, care for, and deliver the shipment; and (c) Horizon's material deviation from, and fundamental breach of, the terms of the carriage contract.
- 17. As a result of the aforesaid plaintiffs sustained damages in the amount of \$156,200.00, plus certain incidental expenses including but not limited to survey fees. Plaintiffs also seek recovery of storage fees and anticipated expenses for segregation, destruction and disposal of the damaged product.
- 18. Plaintiffs sue herein on their own behalf and for and on behalf of the consignee and owner of the shipment, and for anyone else who may now have, or hereafter acquire, an interest in the action.

- 19. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations in paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 5, 7 thru 15, 17 and 18 of this amended complaint and assert the following alternative cause of action against defendant FMC.
- 20. FMC contracted to sell the shipment to Pfizer for \$142,500.00 on an ex works Mechanicsburg basis as outlined *inter alia* in purchase order V200012154 and invoice 80301382 dated July 20, 2006.
- 21. Pfizer contracted to purchase the shipment at said price for use in the manufacture of pharmaceuticals for human consumption.
- 22. FMC was aware of Pfizer's intended use of the shipment and certified, represented and warranted, *inter alia*, that the product was in good order and condition and in all respects conforming to specifications of the sales contract and other requirements as outlined in a certificate of analysis signed by FMC's quality manager.
- 23. When the shipment was delivered to Pfizer in Puerto Rico it was not in good order and condition and did not conform to the terms of the sales contract or the certifications, representations and warranties mde by FMC.
- 24. At the time of delivery to Pfizer the shipment was in damaged and depreciated condition as a result of exposure to a contaminant believed to be an oil product, such as diesel oil, or other similar substance.
- 25. Horizon has represented that the contamination to the shipment did not occur during its door-to-door period of custody and has alleged that the damage arose before transportation during the custody of FMC.
- 26. If and to the extent it is determined that the damage to the shipment arose during FMC's pre-carriage custody, or otherwise as a result of FMC's breach of its duties and obligations to Pfizer, FMC is liable to plaintiffs for breach of the contract of sale.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

27. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations in paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 5, 7 thru 15, 17, 18 and 20 through 26 of this amended complaint and assert the following alternative cause of action against FMC.

28. FMC is liable to plaintiffs for breach of warranty.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

29. Plaintiffs repeat and realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1, 3, 5, 7 thru 15, 17, 18 and 20 thru 26 of this amended complaint and asserts the following alternative cause of action against FMC.

30. FMC owed a duty to Pfizer to protect and care for the shipment prior to transportation and to tender the shipment to the designated receiving carrier in good order and condition.

31. If Horizon's allegations as to the cause of the damage are found to be true, FMC breached this duty by allowing the shipment to be exposed to contamination prior to transport and thereby rendering it unfit for intended usage.

32. As a result of this breach of duty FMC is liable to plaintiff for damages as set forth in Paragraph 17 of this complaint.

Date: New York, New York

August 19, 2008

s/David L. Mazaroli

David L. Mazaroli (DM 3929) Attorney for Plaintiffs 11 Park Place - Suite 1214 New York, New York 10007

Tel.: (212)267-8480 Fax.: (212)732-7352

E-mail: dlm@mazarolilaw.com

File No.: 7C-1533